October 2, 2012

Dr. Arthur Redman  
Chairperson, Department of History and African-American Studies, and Departmental Employees

RE: OFFICIAL TRANSMITTAL OF PRESIDENTIAL APPROVAL OF YOUR DEPARTMENT APPLICATION OF CRITERIA

Dear Dr. Redman and Departmental Employees:

I have reviewed your revised Department Application of Criteria (DAC) which was recently submitted to the Office of the Provost. Based on my review, I hereby approve the DAC for the Department of History and African-American Studies. A copy of the approved DAC is included in this communication. Please share the approved DAC with the appropriate departmental employees.

Thank you for your efforts in preparing the DAC for your department as one which reflects accountability and academic excellence.

Sincerely,

Wayne Watson  
President

Attachment: Approved DAC
I. Composition and Purpose of a Department Personnel Committee (DPC)

A. Composition
A Department Personnel Committee (DPC) shall be constituted in accordance with the Bylaws of the Department of Geography, Sociology, History, African American Studies, and Anthropology.

B. Purpose
The purpose of a Department Personnel Committee shall be to review materials submitted by faculty members of the Department seeking retention, promotion, professional advancement increase (PAI) or tenure and to provide recommendations in accordance with the CSU-UPI Contract (hereafter, referred to as the Faculty Agreement). The dates for this process are specified in the annual University evaluation timetable.

II. Evaluation of Faculty

A. General Policy: Unit A & Unit B Faculty
In order to receive a positive personnel recommendation, a candidate must be judged to have met the designated performance standard in each area, as required by the Faculty Agreement, for the requested personnel action. For Unit A faculty, those areas include teaching, research/creative activity, and service. Unit B Faculty retention shall be evaluated in the area of teaching/performan ce of primary duties. For candidates who have not yet completed the Ph.D., a letter from the dissertation committee indicating their progress and select chapters from the dissertation must be included in the portfolio. In each case, the DPC will determine whether a submission has successfully met the quality necessary and appropriate for the relevant performance standard.

B. Promotion and Tenure by Exception
A faculty member will be judged exceptional upon exceeding the standards and/or criteria contained herein and in accord with the Agreement. Consideration for exceptionality is based on the applicable section of the Contract. The faculty member may apply for such consideration in one of two ways: first, the employee must meet the relevant criteria listed above and must show evidence of exceptional performance beyond that otherwise required or second, a faculty member may apply for tenure in her/his fourth, fifth, or sixth year of full-time service on the basis of exceptional performance in at least two of the following areas: teaching/performan ce of primary duties, research/creative activities or service.

C. Evaluation Scale
1. Faculty will be evaluated based on a seven-level scale. Some rankings may not apply to some evaluation decisions; this is meant simply to clarify the order of rankings.

2. Rankings are in the following order:
   - Appropriate (lowest rank)
   - Satisfactory
   - Highly Satisfactory
   - Effective
   - Highly Effective
   - Significant
   - Superior (highest rank)
III. Categories of Materials and Activities, Relative Importance, and Methods of Evaluation

A. Teaching/Performance of Primary Duties

1. Categories of materials and activities

a. Classroom performance:

(1) Materials that must be submitted in the evaluation portfolio:
   a. Two classroom observations by members of the DPC (for untenured faculty)
   b. Classroom observation by the Chair (for untenured faculty)
   c. Each academic term, every faculty member shall ensure that at least one half of his/her students have the opportunity to evaluate his/her teaching effectiveness (See section I.A.3. (b)) (all teaching faculty)
   d. Evidence of participation in departmental assessment activities (where required; for all teaching faculty).

(2) Materials that may be submitted include, but are not limited to the following:
   a. Additional class observation reports from other faculty members within the evaluation period
   b. Student evaluation forms from additional classes within the evaluation period, based on the department’s established evaluation forms

b. Course materials:

(1) Materials that must be submitted in the evaluation portfolio (for untenured faculty):
   a. Course syllabi for each course taught during the evaluation period unless there are multiple sections of the same course or significant changes have been made to a previous course syllabus
   b. At least two exams for each course taught during the evaluation period, excluding seminars, unless there are multiple sections of the same course that utilize the same exam or significant changes have been made
   c. If exams are not the primary method of evaluation of the student, a detailed discussion of one project or paper from which grades are determined for each course during the evaluation period unless multiple sections of the same course are taught that utilize a similar methodology

(2) Materials that may be submitted include:
   a. Additional exams
   b. Handouts
   c. Study guides
   d. Original instructional materials
   e. Internet or web-related instruction (hard copy)
   f. Reading lists
   g. Lists of guest speakers
   h. Any other information the faculty member considers important

c. Additional Primary Duties:

(1) Internship advising (if faculty member receives CUEs)
(2) Student Academic Advising (if faculty member receives CUEs)
(3) Supervision of graduate (M.A.) students in thesis writing or exam preparation (if not covered through direct teaching duties)
(4) Curriculum revision and development, including course revisions and updates
(5) Professional development for teaching improvement
(6) Development of extension courses, distance learning or internet courses
(7) Faculty Excellence Awards (teaching emphasis)
(8) Supervision of student teachers
(9) Assessment (if compensated)
(10) Administrative assistant to the chair (if compensated)
(11) Report preparation for accreditation/evaluation (if compensated)
(12) Union committee membership/leadership positions (if compensated)
(13) Other student centered teaching related activities such as:
   a. Presentations in residence halls / fraternities or sororities
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(b) Speaking at symposia / panels serving students
(c) Guest speaker at student organized activity
(d) Other student centered activity (with appropriate documentation)

(14) Any additional compensated duties

2. Relative importance:
   a. Classroom performance is the most important category. It will be based on classroom evaluation reports from the Chair and DPC member(s), and the student evaluations, weighted equally.
   b. Course materials will be considered of secondary in importance to student evaluations.
   c. Curriculum revision and development, including course revision and accreditation report development, should be considered for promotion and tenure.
   d. Performance standards for non-teaching primary duties (e.g. assessment, advising activities) should be considered increasingly significant as the standard for review becomes more significant.

3. Evaluation of teaching/performance of primary duties:
   a. Classroom visitations:
      (1) At least two classroom visitations will be conducted by members of the DPC of equal or higher rank chosen by the Chair of the DPC and in the same discipline as the candidate in consultation with the candidate as to the time. If there is but one faculty member within the discipline of equal or higher rank, the DPC Chair should select the second evaluator of equal or higher rank from another discipline in the department. After the visitation, the applicant may ask that additional visitations by other members of the DPC, including members from other disciplines within the department, be made. All evaluations should use the "Peer Evaluation" form and will become part of the personnel process. All evaluations will be given to the Chair of the DPC, who will provide a copy to the faculty member being evaluated. THEY MUST BE INCLUDED IN THE PORTFOLIO.
      (2) The department chair will schedule a class visitation with the candidate at an agreed upon time. The Chair’s report will be included in the departmental evaluation of the candidate. It is the responsibility of the candidate to ensure that the Chair is made aware of the need for a class visitation when the candidate needs such an evaluation for the portfolio.
      (3) The "peer evaluation" form will include written consideration of the organization and presentation of the subject matter and the apparent responsiveness of students.
      (4) Evaluators should use the appropriate language in the DAC (e.g., satisfactory, highly effective, superior) on the peer evaluation form.
      (5) The DPC chair is responsible for informing the evaluator of the requisite standard required of a faculty member for the evaluation.
   b. Student evaluations of teaching effectiveness:
      (1) Each academic term, every faculty member shall ensure that students in all of her/his courses have the opportunity to evaluate his/her teaching effectiveness.
      (2) Student evaluations of teaching effectiveness shall be compiled through use of the University's online evaluation form with additional department questions if approved by the Chairperson and a majority of Unit A faculty. The faculty member may, at his/her discretion, choose the Department's Student Evaluation of Instructor form as a supplement to the online evaluation form.
      (3) The University's evaluation form is available on-line for all students to complete. At the end of the semester, the compiled results of the on-line evaluations shall be made available to the faculty member. Since the faculty member cannot control the choice students make regarding the completion of the on-line forms, no faculty member will be penalized for a low completion rate. It is expected that faculty members will encourage students to complete the on-line form through information in the course syllabus and appropriate reminders near the end of the term.
      (4) Compiled results of all online student course evaluations shall be made available to the faculty member by the University upon the completion of the term.
      (5) All student evaluations will become part of the faculty member’s file and will be part of the faculty member’s personnel records.
c. Course materials are to be evaluated on the following bases:
   (1) Scope/coverage of information—appropriateness to the course level;
   (2) Quality and fairness of exams
   (3) Fairness of the grading policy.
   (4) The syllabus must include a statement of course objectives, requirements, readings, and a written description of attendance and grading policies.
   (5) Where disagreement regarding the scope, quality, and fairness of any course material submitted occurs, the Chair will assign a senior faculty member in the same discipline as the candidate to complete an "Instructional Materials Evaluation" form for the purpose of judging the course materials. A candidate may submit such a form already completed by another member of his/her discipline as part of the portfolio materials submitted to assist the DPC in judging course materials.

d. Other primary duties (e.g. assessment, advising): satisfactory performance of other primary duties related to teaching shall be evaluated according to documentation provided by the candidate for the relevant period of performance based on the appropriate job description (where applicable).

4. Guidelines for evaluation of teaching/primary duties. Based on documented evidence presented for the criteria in I.A.1.a. through I.A.1.c., the candidate will be judged by the voting members of the DPC as to whether or not s/he has fulfilled the standard indicated for the appropriate category. The standards for evaluation are as follows.

   a. A Satisfactory teaching evaluation (needed for retention in probationary years one and two, and for annual evaluation of all temporary faculty) will require the following:
      (1) Overall classroom visitation evaluations must be satisfactory or better;
      (2) Student evaluations must be judged more positive than negative.
      (3) The course materials must be satisfactory.

   b. An Effective teaching evaluation (needed for retention in probationary year three; and promotion to assistant professor) will require the following:
      (1) Overall classroom visitation evaluations must be effective or better.
      (2) Student evaluations must be judged more positive than negative.
      (3) The course materials are effective.
      (4) One contribution must be made in categories I.A.1.c. (1) – (12).

   c. A Highly Effective teaching evaluation (needed for retention in probationary year four; and may be applied to temporary faculty) will require the following:
      (1) Overall classroom visitation evaluations must be highly effective or better.
      (2) Student evaluations must be judged more positive than negative.
      (3) The course materials are highly effective.
      (4) Two (2) contributions must be made in categories I.A.1.c. (1) – (12).

   d. A Significant teaching evaluation (needed for retention in probationary year five; promotion to associate professor) will require the following:
      (1) Overall classroom visitation evaluations must be highly effective or better.
      (2) Student evaluations must be judged more positive than negative.
      (3) The course materials are highly effective.
      (4) Two (2) significant contributions must be made in categories I.A.1.c. (1) – (12).
      (5) One additional contribution must be made in categories I.A.i.c. (1) – (13) for promotion to associate professor.

   e. A Superior teaching evaluation (needed for tenure; promotion to professor; PAI) will require the following.
      (1) Overall classroom visitation evaluations must be superior.
      (2) Student evaluations are judged more positive than negative.
      (3) Course materials are highly effective.
      (4) Three significant contributions are made in categories I.A.1.c. (1) – (12).
      (5) One additional contribution must be made in categories I.A.i.c. (1) – (13) for promotion to full professor or PAI.
f. With regard to the annual evaluation of tenured faculty,
   (1) Teaching/primary duties will be judged adequate that meet the following criteria:
       (a) Student evaluations are judged more positive than negative.
       (b) Course materials are adequate.
       (c) One significant contribution in categories I.A.1.c. (1) – (12).
   (2) Teaching/primary duties will be judged exceptional that meet the following criteria:
       (a) Student evaluations are judged more positive than negative.
       (b) Course materials are exceptional.
       (c) Two significant contribution in categories I.A.1.c. (1) – (12).

g. With regard to the annual evaluation of full-time temporary Unit B faculty, satisfactory performance must be demonstrated in each of the following areas (after one full year of teaching):
   (1) Evaluations based on classroom visitations conducted by the Chair and a senior faculty member in the discipline of the candidate
   (2) Syllabi and instructional materials including examinations
   (3) Student Evaluations administered in accord with departmental procedure
   (4) Any other appropriate submission

h. With regard to the annual evaluation of part-time Unit B faculty, satisfactory performance must be demonstrated in each of the following areas to begin after one semester:
   (1) Evaluations based on classroom visitations conducted by a senior faculty member in the discipline of the candidate
   (2) Syllabi and instructional materials including examinations
   (3) Student Evaluations administered in accord with departmental procedure
   (4) Any other appropriate submission

i. With regard to the evaluation of materials on the basis of "exceptionality," the materials submitted must exceed the standard of performance required for the given action.

B. Research/Creative Activity
   1. Categories of materials and activities: Faculty members shall not be restricted or limited in the areas in which they engage in scholarly activities. The most significant criterion for evaluation shall be evidence that the faculty member is active and engaged in his/her academic discipline. No limits are to be placed on the kind of research and/or creative activities selected, as long as there is a demonstrable relationship between the faculty member's research and his/her academic discipline. The activities listed within each category are meant to be illustrative of the kind of activities that may be considered in each category. The lists below are not meant to be either definitive or exhaustive. Each faculty member is encouraged to consult with a member of the DPC concerning his/her activities, their appropriate category ranking, and the appropriate type of documentation.

   a. Category I: Professional publications
      (1) Monograph
      (2) Published Book
      (3) Original Translation
      (4) Peer-reviewed journal article
      (5) Published journal article
      (6) Book chapter in an edited collection
      Documentation consists of an offprint of the published work, photocopies of the first page of an article or table of contents of a book as the publication appears in print.

   b. Category II: Publishing Activities (not included in Category I)
      (1) Publications in any venue not covered in Category I
      (2) Manuscripts submitted (or in review) in peer-reviewed publications
      (3) Evidence that the faculty member's research/creative activity is regarded as significant within his/her discipline (indices, journal citation, professional letters referencing published work)
      (4) Book reviews published in professional journals
      (5) Papers at national or international professional meetings, or evidence that a paper has been accepted for presentation at such a meeting though the meeting will not take place until after the submission of the document or portfolio
      (6) Award or grant
(7) Progress toward a terminal degree in the faculty member's primary discipline
   Documentation shall conform to the guidelines in Category I unless otherwise specified.

c. **Category III: Scholarly Activities** (not included in Categories I and II)
   (1) Manuscripts in preparation: The acceptability of manuscripts in preparation and the
       appropriate documentation shall be determined by the DPC using the "Unpublished Materials
       Evaluation Form." The Chair of the DPC may designate a referee in the same area of
       expertise to provide a written evaluation of the materials submitted in consultation with the
       faculty member.
   (2) Research in progress: The acceptability of research in progress shall be determined by the
       DPC in consultation with the faculty member. Where human subject research occurs, the
       written approval notice shall constitute documentation of ongoing and appropriate research
       activity. The Chair of the DPC may designate a referee in the same area of expertise to
       provide a written evaluation of the materials submitted in consultation with the faculty
       member.
   (3) Grant or fellowship proposals or applications in preparation: The acceptability of such
       proposals shall be judged by the DPC which may solicit the opinions of referees in the faculty
       member's discipline and/or area of expertise.
   (4) Papers presented at local/state/regional professional meetings, or evidence that a paper has
       been accepted for presentation at such a meeting.
   (5) Invited presentation at a professional meeting that requires substantial preparation
   (6) Original work in an anthology or scholarly edited volume of essays
   (7) Edit a published scholarly work of documents or essays
   (8) Edit professional journal
   (9) Edit *Proceedings* of a professional conference
   (10) Serving as a reviewer for granting agencies, publishers, or any other area in which a faculty
       member's expertise is recognized. Acceptable documentation shall consist of letters soliciting
       the faculty member's reviews.
   (11) Statements from external professionals testifying to a faculty member's expertise,
       participation in ongoing research projects, the quality of a faculty member's work and area of
       expertise and so forth. Acceptable documentation shall consist of letters testifying to the
       faculty member's expertise and/or activity.

   Documentation shall include copies of material and an "Evaluation Form" where appropriate.

d. **Category IV: Developmental Activities** (not included in Categories I-III)
   (1) Professional involvement with community-based organizations
   (2) Presentation at departmental, college or university seminar
   (3) Discussant or panel chair at professional conference
   (4) Curate or organize a public or CSU exhibition
   (5) Any other appropriate submission (include "Unpublished Activities" form)

2. **Relative importance:**
   a. Research listed in Category I and II represent a higher level of scholarly achievement and is
      therefore weighed more heavily than the activities listed in categories III and IV.
   b. DPC members shall assess the relative significance of a published work, considering factors such
      as the press or journal which publishes the work, the impact of the work on the field, and any other
      factors consistent with the standards of the academic discipline.

3. **Evaluation of research/creative activity:**
   a. Quality and professional stature of publications will be judged by the DPC
   b. Consideration will be given only to work that can be documented according to the categories listed
      above during the evaluation period
   c. Consideration will be given to the prestige of the conference or institution where a presentation is
      given
   d. Evidence of professional/research development must be submitted to document the activities
   e. Evidence must be submitted to document the awards

4. **Guidelines for evaluations of research/creative activity.** Based on documented evidence presented for
   the criteria Categories I-IV, the candidate will be judged by the voting members of the DPC as to
whether or not s/he has fulfilled the standard indicated for the appropriate category. The standards for evaluation are as follows:

a. An **Appropriate** research/creative activity evaluation (needed for retention in probationary year one) will require the candidate to meet one of the criteria in any Category IV.

b. A **Satisfactory** research/creative activity evaluation (needed for retention in probationary year two) will require the candidate to meet at least two of the criteria in any Category IV.

c. A **Highly Satisfactory** research/creative activity evaluation (needed for retention in probationary year three; promotion to assistant professor) will require the candidate to meet at least one of the criteria in Category III and two items from Category IV.

d. An **Effective** research/creative activity evaluation (needed for retention in probationary year four; promotion to assistant professor) will require the candidate to meet at least one of the criteria in Category II and two items from Category IV.

e. A **Highly Effective** research/creative activity evaluation (needed for retention in probationary year five) will require the candidate to meet at least two of the criteria in any Category II, one item from Category III, and one item from Category IV.

f. A **Significant** research/creative activity evaluation (needed for tenure; promotion to associate professor) will require the candidate to meet:
   (1) At least one of the criteria in Category I and
   (2) At least two additional activities in Categories III.
   (3) One additional activity in Categories III or two additional activities in Category IV for promotion to associate professor.

g. A **Superior** research/creative activity evaluation (needed for promotion to professor) will require the candidate to meet either:
   (1) At least one of the of the criteria in Category I (1-3) or
   (2) At least one item in Category I (1-5) and three additional items from Category II
   (3) One additional activity in Categories III or two additional activities in Category IV for promotion to full professor or PAI.

h. With regard to the annual evaluation of **tenured faculty**,
   (1) Research/creative activity will be judged **adequate** by meeting at least one of the criteria in Category III or higher during a two-year period.
   (2) Research/creative activity will be judged **exceptional** by meeting at least one of the criteria in Category II or higher during a two year period.

i. For **PAI**, the candidate must demonstrate:
   (1) Superior performance in Teaching/Primary duties and in EITHER Research or Service;
   (2) Significant performance must be shown for the remaining area.

j. With regard to the evaluation of materials on the basis of "**exceptionality**," the materials submitted must exceed the standard of performance required for the given action.

C. **Service Activity**
   1. Categories of materials and activities: Faculty members are expected to participate in university and/or community related activities. A documentary record of such activities is to be provided in the portfolio.

   a. Department activities:
      (1) Required:
         (a) Participation in the proceedings of the DPC
      (2) Other departmental service:
         (a) Participation in departmental committees
         (b) Chairing departmental committees
         (c) Search committee membership
         (d) Ad hoc committee membership
         (e) Serving as advisor to student groups
         (f) Student Academic Advising (if not compensated)
         (g) Student recruitment
         (h) Administrative assistance to the chair (if not compensated)
         (i) Assessment (if not compensated)
         (j) Report preparation for accreditation/evaluation (if not compensated)
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(k) Organization of high school outreach programs (e.g., History Day)
(l) History fair judging at CSU
(m) Organizing Black History Month or Women’s History Month programs at CSU
(n) Creation of departmental web page, pamphlets, student handbooks
(o) Departmental fund-raising
(p) Student advising (if not compensated)

b. University and college activities:
   (1) Membership/leadership on university or college committees
   (2) Union committee membership/leadership positions (if not assigned duties)
   (3) University search committees
   (4) Faculty Senate membership
   (5) Organization of colloquia, workshops, lectures, debates on campus
   (6) Faculty Excellence Award (service emphasis)

c. Professionally related community service or service to the profession:
   (1) Giving public lectures
   (2) City-wide history fair judging
   (3) Unpaid consulting or volunteer service
   (4) Outreach programs
   (5) Accreditation visitations
   (6) Teacher in-service programs
   (7) Edit professional newsletter
   (8) Membership in professional organizations
   (9) Leadership in professional organization
   (10) Any other necessary and appropriate submission of service to the profession or the campus community

2. Relative importance: Service activity at the department, university and college levels will be considered to be of equal importance but, in any case, more important than community service. Serving as an officer or in some other leadership role will be considered to be a more significant contribution than serving as a member of a committee. Care must be taken when evaluating service to consider the committee assignments and work available to the faculty member, the place of the faculty member in their professional development, and the nature of the faculty member's academic background. Recognition should be given to the fact that not every discipline lends itself to the same service opportunities, especially as these relate to community-based activities. It is also anticipated that the amount of service activities will vary from year to year.

3. Service criteria: Evaluation of the effectiveness of a candidate’s service will include the following:
   a. Extent and nature of leadership
   b. Degree of participation
   c. Quality and length of service
   d. The relationship of the service to the candidate’s assigned responsibilities and to the university.

4. Guidelines for evaluation of service: Based on documented evidence presented for the criteria listed in I.C.1.a. (2) through I.C.1.c. above, the candidate will be judged by the voting members of the DPC as to whether or not s/he has fulfilled the standard indicated for the appropriate category.
   a. An Appropriate service evaluation (needed for retention in probationary year one) will require one acceptable performance in at least one activity in I.C.1.a. (2)
   b. A Satisfactory service evaluation (needed for retention in probationary year two) will require the following:
      (1) Acceptable performance in at least one activity in I.C.1.a. (2)
      (2) Acceptable performance in at least one activity in I.C.1.b. or I.C.1.c.
   c. A Highly Satisfactory service evaluation (needed for retention in probationary year three; promotion to assistant professor) will require the following:
      (1) Acceptable performance in at least one activity in I.C.1.a.(2)
      (2) Acceptable performance in at least two activities in I.C.1.b. or I.C.1.c.
   d. An Effective service evaluation (needed for retention in probationary year four) will require the following:
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(1) Acceptable performance in at least two activities in I.C.1.a.
(2) Acceptable performance in at least one activity in I.C.1.b. or I.C.1.c.

e. A Highly Effective service evaluation (needed for retention in probationary year five) will require the following:
(1) Acceptable performance in at least two activities in I.C.1.a.
(2) Acceptable performance in at least two activities in I.C.1.b. or I.C.1.c.

f. A Significant service evaluation (needed for promotion to associate professor; tenure) will require the following:
(1) Acceptable performance in at least two activities in I.C.1.a.
(2) Acceptable performance in at least two additional activities in I.C.1.a. through I.C.1.c.
(3) Significant performance (a leadership role) in any one of the areas listed above

g. A Superior service evaluation (needed for promotion to professor) will require that the candidate demonstrate acceptable performance in at least one activity in I.C.1.a. and at least four additional activities in I.C.1.a. through I.C.1.c.

h. For annual evaluation of tenured faculty,
(1) Service will be judged adequate by acceptable performance in at least one of the activities in I.C.1.a. through I.C.1.c.
(2) Service will be judged exemplary by acceptable performance in at least two activities in I.C.1.a. through I.C.1.c. and by significant performance (a leadership role) in any one of the areas listed above.

i. For PAI, the candidate must demonstrate:
(1) Superior performance in Teaching/Primary duties and in EITHER Research or Service;
(2) Significant performance must be shown for the remaining area.

j. With regard to the evaluation of materials on the basis of "exceptionality," the materials submitted must exceed the standard of performance required for the given action.

IV. Distance Education Policies for Online and Hybrid Courses
A. Online and Hybrid Course Offerings
1. The department will not permit more than 50% of an individual student’s courses to be online or hybrid courses for the purposes of fulfilling the requirements for a degree.

2. Criteria for approval of online and hybrid courses:
   a. Any online or hybrid course to be offered online within the department’s curriculum will need to be first approved by the department’s curriculum committee.
   b. When approving courses to be offered in an online or hybrid format, the department is the first level of approval and should focus on the quality of the content and the design of the course. The department is the only body that can determine if the content of the course is appropriate for the curriculum. Therefore, the department’s curriculum committee will review the syllabus and interview the instructor to determine the appropriateness of the content.
   c. Following departmental approval, proposed online or hybrid courses will need to be approved by the requisite university committees, including the University Curriculum Committee and the Distance Education Committee.

3. Courses taught in an online or hybrid format carry the same consideration for personnel actions (retention, promotion, tenure) as any traditionally offered (i.e. face-to-face) course.

4. The department may offer as many online and hybrid courses per semester as is appropriate to satisfy the program needs of the department and the university.

B. Selecting Faculty to Teach Online and Hybrid Courses
1. The Chair of the department shall poll the faculty to determine if there are those who wish to offer courses within the Distance Education program.

2. Faculty teaching online or hybrid courses are strongly encouraged to complete the Online Certification Training offered through the Center for Teaching and Research Excellence (CTRE).

3. Faculty members teaching online and hybrid courses must be responsive to students’ needs and questions about coursework, such as holding some form of office hours (virtual or in person).

4. The Department Chair will formulate a roster of faculty who wish to teach an online or hybrid course in the event that the demand for teaching assignments exceeds program needs or the support from the
University. This roster will be developed in a manner similar to those developed for teaching assignments in the Summer session.

5. Beyond the considerations listed above, there is no limit to the number of online or hybrid courses a faculty member may teach each semester.

C. Evaluating Online and Hybrid Courses
   1. The method for evaluating online and hybrid courses within the department will follow the same process used by traditionally offered courses.
   2. These methods of evaluation will include student evaluations, peer evaluations, and Chair evaluation(s), as outlined in section III.A.3. above and as required for the appropriate personnel actions.

D. Advising Students about Online and Hybrid Courses
   1. Students that register for any online or hybrid courses will be advised as to the requirements to be successful in an online or hybrid course, including having the appropriate technology, time, discipline, and skills. Students without access to the needed technology or lacking the necessary skills will be advised towards traditional courses or technology courses to build their skills.
   2. Students will be provided with the booklet, “Succeeding Online,” published by the CTRE.
   3. The CTRE has available online a Technology Literacy training module.
   4. The Library has available online an Information Literacy training module (called “CSIT”) with links available to Instructors.
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APPENDIX A.
EVALUATION FORMS
HISTORY AND AFRICAN AMERICAN STUDIES PROGRAMS

UNPUBLISHED MATERIALS EVALUATION FORM

FACULTY MEMBER EVALUATED _________________________________

Reason for Evaluation

_____Retention

_____Tenure

_____Promotion to the Rank of ______________________________

_____PAI

Brief Description of Materials Evaluated:

Evaluated by _________________________________

MATERIALS JUDGED

( ) HIGHLY SIGNIFICANT ( ) SIGNIFICANT ( ) EFFECTIVE ( ) SATISFACTORY ( ) BELOW AVERAGE

WRITTEN COMMENTS
FACULTY MEMBER EVALUATED ______________________________

Reason for Evaluation  _____Retention

_____ Tenure

_____ Promotion to the Rank of ______________________________

_____ PAI

Brief Description of Materials Evaluated:

Evaluated by __________________________________________

_____________________________________________________

MATERIALS JUDGED

( ) HIGHLY SIGNIFICANT ( ) SIGNIFICANT ( ) EFFECTIVE ( ) SATISFACTORY ( ) BELOW AVERAGE

_____________________________________________________

WRITTEN COMMENTS

Signature of faculty member evaluated ___________________________  Date __________
DEPARTMENT OF GEOGRAPHY, SOCIOLOGY, HISTORY, AFRICAN AMERICAN STUDIES, & ANTHROPOLOGY
HISTORY AND AFRICAN AMERICAN STUDIES PROGRAMS

INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS EVALUATION FORM

FACULTY MEMBER EVALUATED ________________________________

Reason for Evaluation  _____Retention
                      _____Tenure
                      _____Promotion to the Rank of ______________________________
                      _____PAI

Brief Description of Materials Evaluated:

Evaluated by ________________________________

MATERIALS JUDGED
(  ) HIGHLY SIGNIFICANT (  ) SIGNIFICANT (  ) EFFECTIVE (  ) SATISFACTORY (  ) BELOW AVERAGE

WRITTEN COMMENTS

Signature of faculty member evaluated ________________________________  Date _________
Recommendation on Retention/Tenure/Promotion Form

The DPC for the ____________________________ Program:

_________________ recommends

_________________ does not recommend

________________________________________
(Name of faculty member)

for: _____ Retention (Year:_______)

_____ Tenure

_____ Promotion to the rank of: ______________________________

_____ PAI

by a vote of: _____(Affirmative) _____ (Negative) _____(Abstentions).

Date:_____________________

________________________________________

REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATION

________________________________________

SIGNATURES